

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 2257-0176P-SP	CONFIRMATION NO. 6812
09/800,895		03/08/2001	Yoshiko Hatano		
2292	7590 01/05/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH				REKSTAD, ERICK J	
PO BOX 74	7				
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2613	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/800,895 HATANO ET AL. Advisory Action Examiner **Art Unit** Erick Rekstad 2613 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires ____ ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below): (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 4. ■ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: ____. Claim(s) objected to: . . Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: CHRIS KELLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants argue that the combination of Ando and Chen does not teach the controlling of a stuffing based on a minimum code volume obtained for each video object plane (VOP) unit image as claimed in claims 2 and 11. Specifically, the applicant states that Ando and Chen do not teach the storage of a stuffing based on a minimum code volume obtained for each VOP unit image. Ando teaches the obtaining of the minimum code volume (Dmax) which must be used for each packet (Col 3 Lines 60-65). It is viewed by the examiner that the obtaining of Dmax involves the use of each packet and therefore is obtained for each packet. Further as stated in the final office action, Chen teaches the use of VOPs by both MPEG-2 and MPEG-4 (Col 7 Lines 36-38). Therefore it would have been obvious to combine Ando and Chen. Required by applicants' dispute of the Offical Notice regarding the second buffer, US Patent 6,668,051 to Kranawetter teaches in Figure 1 a second buffer (40) within the encoder (Col 3 Lines 34-45).